

ORIGINAL

October 25, 1995

OCT 2 5 1995

Mr. William Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re:

IB Docket No. 95-117

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Caton:

Transmitted herewith are an original and five (5) copies of the "Reply Comments of Iridium, Inc." in the above-referenced proceeding.

Should any question arise concerning this matter, please communicate with this office.

Very truly yours,

Palucia a Cultaliane
Patricia A. Mahoney, Esq.

Senior Manager, Licensing Affairs

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	IB Docket No. 95-117
)	
Streamlining the Commission's)	
Rules and Regulations for Satellite)	
Application and Licensing Procedures)	DOCKET EILE CODY OBIO
Directed to: The Commission		DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

REPLY COMMENTS OF IRIDIUM, INC.

Iridium, Inc. (Iridium) hereby respectfully submits these reply comments in response to comments filed by various parties in the above-captioned proceeding:

Iridium Generally Supports The Commission's Streamlining Efforts

Although it did not submit comments in this proceeding, Iridium supports and appreciates the efforts of the Commission, as reflected in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 95-285 (released Aug. 11, 1995)(NPRM), to revise and "streamline" the Commission's satellite application and licensing procedures. Iridium supports the Commission's activities and objectives to eliminate unnecessary regulatory requirements and burdens on the communications satellite industry.

Information That Is Unnecessary to the Commission's Application and Licensing Processes Should Not Be Required, Requested, and/or Collected

Iridium agrees with the Commission and a number of the commenters (AT&T Corp., PanAmSat Corporation, CTA Incorporated, Hughes Communications Galaxy,

Inc. and others) that the Commission should not require the submission of information in the application process that is unnecessary to the licensing process, particularly where such information would otherwise be treated as confidential, proprietary, and/or highly sensitive in the competitive satellite industry. Iridium agrees that information such as the estimated demand for the services and the entities to be served and an estimate of transponder capacity under each of the proposed operating conditions should not be requested and collected by the Commission when it is not even used by or useful to the Commission in the licensing process. Iridium encourages the Commission to continue to review its regulations and procedures to identify and eliminate additional requirements for information that is not necessary to the application licensing process.

Iridium Agrees That One Application Form Should Be Utilized for the Satellite Services

Iridium also agrees with the majority of the commenters who supported the Commission's long overdue proposal to adopt one specific application form (FCC Form 312) for satellite applications. Iridium agrees with the comments of Motorola Satellite Communications, Inc. (Motorola) that the proposed Form 312 should be further refined to eliminate "narrative" requirements. The Commission's streamlining goals would best be served by one form that requests on its face all of the information needed for satellite system and space station applications so that completion of the form represents a minimally acceptable application.

The Commission Should Apply All Reforms Adopted to the Next Round or Group of Satellite Applications Filed

So that these proposed reforms can truly be of benefit to the industry and save the resources of the Commission and the applicants, Iridium urges the Commission not to open another filing window for satellite applications until it has adopted the new form and the new "streamlined" procedures and to apply the new, streamlined procedures to the next round or processing group. For the same reason, Iridium urges the Commission not to open another window and invite the filing of additional satellite applications until it has concluded its comprehensive review of the FCC's satellite licensing policies, announced in the Public Notice, "International Bureau to Review Satellite Licensing Policies," Report No. IN 95-25 (released Sept. 20, 1995), and adopted any reforms proposed to be adopted as a result of that review.

The Commission Should Not Adopt the Final Report of the RTCA Working Group and/or the Out-of-Band Emission Limits for MSS Without First Conducting a Notice and Comment Rulemaking Proceeding

Iridium agrees with the views expressed by the comments of Hughes Network Systems, Inc. (HNSI) and Loral/Qualcomm Partnership, L.P. (Loral) concerning the Commission's announced intention to adopt the final report and recommendations from RTCA, Inc. (RTCA) on out-of-band emission standards for MSS. According to the NPRM, the Commission has not yet even received the final report. Thus it is premature for the Commission to propose (as it gave notice it would in the NPRM) to adopt the recommendations of the RTCA. Iridium agrees with HNSI and Loral that, before the RTCA recommendations can be adopted by the FCC as a rule or policy, they must be

considered through a notice and comment rulemaking proceeding.

Conclusion

As the Commission's International Bureau has concluded, and as the comments filed in this proceeding generally reflect, there is a definite need for streamlining of the Commission's satellite application and licensing procedures. The NPRM proposes some initial reforms and refinements that will eliminate some of the unnecessarily burdensome requirements in the process. It is likely that other proposals will be generated through the Commission's licensing policies proceeding. Iridium hopes that the Commission will continue its efforts to review its procedures and policies, eliminate unnecessary requirements, and establish/retain procedures and policies that bring service to consumers expeditiously.

Respectfully submitted, IRIDIUM, INC.

By:

James G. Ennis, Esq.

Director-Licensing Affairs

Patricia A. Mahoney, Esq.

Senior Manager-Licensing Affairs

Sallye A. Clark, Licensing Specialist

F. Thomas Tuttle, Esq.
Deputy General Counsel
Iridium, Inc.
1401 H Street, NW

Suite 800

Washington, DC 20005

202-326-5600

October 25, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Patricia A. Mahoney, hereby certify that I have this 25th day of October, 1995, sent by first-class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, copies of the foregoing to:

*Chairman Reed E. Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 814 Washington, D.C. 20554

*Commissioner James H. Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 802 Washington, D.C. 20554

*Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 826 Washington, D.C. 20554

*Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 832 Washington, D.C. 20554

*Commissioner Rachelle B. Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 844 Washington, D.C. 20554

*William E. Kennard General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 604 Washington, D.C. 20554

*Scott Blake Harris Chief, International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, N.W., Room 800 8th Floor, Mail Stop 0800 Washington, D.C. 20554

*Tom Tycz International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, N.W., Room 800 8th Floor, Mail Stop 0800B Washington, D.C. 20554 *Fern Jarmulnek International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, N.W., Room 518 8th Floor, Mail Stop 0800B Washington, D.C. 20554

*Cecily C. Holiday International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, N.W., Room 824 Washington, D.C. 20554

Joseph A. Godles Goldberg, Godles, Wiener & Wright 1229 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004

Lon Levin AMSC Subsidiary Corporation 10802 Parkridge Boulevard Reston, VA 22091

Philip L. Malet Steptoe & Johnson 1330 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036

James T. Roche Keystone Communications Corporation 400 N. Capitol St., NW Suite 880 Washington, DC 20001

Tom W. Davidson, P.C. Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, LLP 1333 New Hampshire Ave., NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036

April McClain-Delaney Orion Network Systems, Inc. 2440 Research Blvd. Suite 400 Rockville, MD 20850 Philip V. Otero GE American Communications, Inc. 1750 Old Meadow Road McLean, VA 22102

Mark C. Rosenblum AT&T Corp. Room 3244J1 295 North Maple Ave. Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Albert Halprin Halprin, Temple, Goodman & Sugrue 1100 New York Ave., NW Suite 650, East Tower Washington, DC 20005

Benamin J. Griffin Reed Smith Shaw & McClay 1301 K Street, NW Suite 1100 - East Tower Washington, DC 20005

Philip L. Spector Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison Suite 1300 1615 L Street, NW Washingon, DC 20036-5694

James F. Rogers Latham & Watkins 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 1300 Washington, DC 20004

Gregory F. Intoccia 1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006

Randolph J. May Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004

Christopher R. Hardy Comsearch 2002 Edmund Halley Drive Reston, VA 22091

Palvicia A. Mahoney